

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

CONFIRMATION NO. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE DAVOX-183XX 4237 11/30/2001 Saima S. Khan 09/998,249 EXAMINER 28452 09/30/2004 BOURQUE & ASSOCIATES, P.A. GELIN, JEAN ALLAND 835 HANOVER STREET PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT SUITE 303 2681 MANCHESTER, NH 03104

DATE MAILED: 09/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/998,249	KHAN, SAIMA S.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jean A Gelin	2681		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address	
	or Reply			
THE - Extended - If th - If No - Fail Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl o period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a ly within the statutory minimum of thi will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO e, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 November 2001.			
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	s action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the merits is	
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposi	tion of Claims		,	
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5)⊠	Claim(s) 4 and 5 is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are rejected.			
· —	Claim(s) 3 is/are objected to.		•	
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Applicat	tion Papers			
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on 30 November 2001 is/a	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)[objected to by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
44	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	·		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	r have been received		
	1. Certified copies of the priority document2. Certified copies of the priority document		Application No	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		· ·	
	application from the International Bureau	=	Troceived in this National Stage	
*	See the attached detailed Office action for a list		t received.	
Attachme	• •			
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔛 Interview Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date	
3) 🔲 Info	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Pap	er No(s)/Mail Date	6) [] Other:	·	

Art Unit: 2681

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Crossley (US 6,751,310 B1) in view of McDuff et al. (US 6,490,350 B2).

Regarding claim 1, Crossley teaches a computerized telephony dynamic paging system (automated system for managing calls campaign, col. 2, lines 20-32) comprising: associated a paging system database containing properties with at least one contact party and at least trigger condition (col. 3, line 63 to col. 4, line 2); a condition monitor, adapted to monitor conditions of a call campaign being processed by a computer telephony system, to detect at least trigger condition (i.e., a call record is dialed upon detecting an event, col. 4, line 64 to col. 5, line 65); a pager telephone processor responsive to a detected trigger generating and processing a pager telephone call upon the one trigger condition (col. 5, lines 30-65).

Crossley does not specifically teach a pager graphical user interface (P-GUI), facilitating the control said dynamic paging system by a system user.

However, the preceding limitation is known in the art of communications. McDuff teaches the monitoring system includes a graphical user interface that holds calls center statistic such as the number of abandoned calls, the number of ghost calls, the number

Art Unit: 2681

of hang-ups and the number of handheld calls (col. 1, lines 32-42, col. 11, line 30 to col. 12, line 45). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to implement the technique of McDuff within the system of Crossley in order to display on the graphical user interface the percentage of agents that either on call, unavailable or available.

Regarding claim 2, Crossley in view of McDuff all the limitation above. Crossley further teaches at least one prepared script for processing by said pager telephone call processor, said script responsive to said properties contained in said paging system database (col. 4, lines 30-36, col. 4, line 64 to col. 5, line 65).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 4 and 5 are allowed.
- 4. Claim 3 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 5. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art teaches monitoring the call campaign and modifying outbound call campaign by changing the pre-selected campaign parameter or call record selection criteria.

As per claim 3, the Applicant teaches wherein said script further retrieves properties associated with said detected condition and provides said properties to said contact party in numeric pager message. As per claims 4 and 5, the Applicant further

Art Unit: 2681

teaches storing properties associated with said at least one contact party and said at least one triggering condition in a paging system database; monitoring said telephone call campaign during its processing to detect the occurrence of said at least one at least one triggering condition; generating and processing a pager telephone call upon the detection of said at least one triggering condition. These limitations, in conjunction with all limitations of the independent claim, have not been disclosed, taught, or made obvious over the prior art of record.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Beckett, II et al. (US 6,510,220) teaches method and apparatus for simultaneously monitoring computer user screen and telephone activity from a remote location.

Cambray et al. (US 5,577,112) teaches telephony system with supervisory management center and parameter testing with alerts.

Kneipp et al. (US 6,266,407) teaches telephony system command scheduler and precedent processor.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jean A Gelin whose telephone number is (703) 305-4847. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30 AM to 7:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David R Hudspeth can be reached on (703) 308-4825. The fax phone

Art Unit: 2681

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JGelin September 23, 2004 JEAN GELIN PRIMARY EXAMINER